



GCP Infrastructure

Investment companies | Annual Overview | 23 January 2025

Consolidate and capitalise

For most of 2024, there were signs suggesting that financial conditions had finally started to improve for infrastructure investors. Inflation had dropped from the previous year, allowing the Bank of England to begin its easing cycle, while the manager notes that the new government's ambitious plans for infrastructure development had provided a renewed sense of optimism across the sector. It says that these green shoots were evidenced by GCP's annual results, which showed a total shareholder return of 28.4%, leading to a narrowing of its discount. Unfortunately, the manager says, a negative reaction to the UK budget, and concerns around the inflationary impact of US tariffs, saw gilts retrace their highs, erasing some of these gains.

Despite this, the manager remains confident about its ongoing capital recycling programme. Coupled with what it says are improving market conditions, an impressive policy backdrop, and its long track record of capitalising on changing market dynamics, it believes there is a significant opportunity.

Public-sector-backed, long-term cashflows

GCP aims to provide shareholders with sustained, long-term distributions and to preserve capital by generating exposure primarily to UK infrastructure debt or similar assets with predictable long-term cashflows.

12 months ended	Share price TR (%)	NAV total return (%)	Earnings ¹ per share (pence)	Adjusted ² EPS (pence)	Dividend per share (pence)
30/09/2020	(2.0)	(0.22)	(0.08)	7.65	7.6
30/09/2021	(7.9)	7.2	7.08	7.90	7.0
30/09/2022	3.8	15.7	15.88	8.30	7.0
30/09/2023	(25.2)	3.6	3.50	8.58	7.0
30/09/2024	28.2	4.6	2.25	7.09	7.0


Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co Note 1) EPS figures taken from 30 September each year. Note 2) Adjusted earnings per share removes the impact of unrealised movements in fair value through profit and loss.

Sector	Infrastructure
Ticker	GCP LN
Base currency	GBP
Price	71.2p
NAV	105.62p
Premium/(discount)	(32.6%)
Yield	9.83%

Note 1) Morningstar estimate, last published 105.22p as at 30 September 2024. 2) Dividend forecast 7.0p per share

Share price and discount


Time period 31/012/2019 to 20/01/2025



Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co

Performance over five years

Time period 31/12/2019 to 31/12/2024



Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co



Contents

Consolidate and capitalise	1
Company profile	3
Annual results	3
Market backdrop	5
Capital recycling	7
Portfolio	8
Top 10 investments	10
Recent investment activity	11
Conservative assumptions	12
Sensitivities	12
Performance	13
Peer group	14
Alternative peer group – renewable energy companies	16
Quarterly dividend	17
Discount	18
Fees and costs	18
Capital structure and life	19
Gearing and derivatives	19
Major shareholders	19
Board	20
Previous publications	22

Domicile	Jersey
Inception date	22 July 2010
Manager	Philip Kent
Market cap	615.83m
Shares outstanding (exc. treasury shares)	864.93m
Daily vol. (1-yr. avg.)	1.297m shares
Net gearing	4.5%

[Click for our last note](#)



[Click for an updated GCP factsheet](#)



[Click for GCP's peer group analysis](#)



Analysts

Andrew Courtney
ac@quoteddata.com

James Carthew
jc@quoteddata.com

[Click to provide feedback to the company](#)



[Click if you are interested in meeting GCP's advisers](#)



[Click for news, research and events](#)



Company profile

Regular, sustainable, long-term income

GCP Infrastructure Investments Limited (GCP) is a Jersey-incorporated, closed-ended investment company whose shares are traded on the main market of the London Stock Exchange. GCP aims to generate a regular, sustainable, long-term income while preserving investors' capital. The company's income is derived from loaning money predominantly at fixed rates to entities which derive their revenue – or a substantial portion of it – from UK public-sector-backed cashflows. Wherever it can, it tries to secure an element of inflation protection.

In practice, GCP is diversified across a range of different infrastructure sectors, although its focus has shifted more towards renewable energy infrastructure over the last few years. It has exposure to renewable energy projects (where revenue is part subsidy and part linked to sales of power), PFI/PPP-type assets (whose revenue is predominantly based on the availability of the asset), and specialist supported social housing (where local authorities are renting specially-adapted residential accommodation for tenants with special needs).

Annual results

GCP is trading dividend yield of 9.8%

On 12 December 2024, GCP published its annual results for the period to 30 September 2024. The company's NAV total return was 2.2%, while the shareholder total return was 28.4% with the discount narrowing significantly to 25%, although this has since widened to 32.6% at the time of publication. Whilst GCP does not compare its returns with those of a benchmark index, the sterling corporate bond index is a potentially useful comparison, and this returned 10.7% over the same period.

Dividends continued to run at an annualised pace of 7.0p per share, so that GCP is trading on a dividend yield of 9.8%. On the company's adjusted earnings basis, calculated on interest accruals, dividend cover was 1.01 times (down from 1.23x at the end of the 30 September 2023). On a cash cover basis, this ratio is much higher. Profit was £19.5m (down from £30.9m). The manager notes that the financial performance continues to be driven by electricity prices and inflation, both of which have normalised from elevated levels, leading to a negative impact on profitability.

The company's NAV total return was 2.2%, while the shareholder total return was 28.4%

Despite this, the company was still able to deliver a positive NAV total return for the year, and it says the 28% total shareholder return reflected the steadily improving market conditions and the ongoing implementation of the company's capital recycling programme, which has remained the key focus for the manager over the past 12 months.

The manager says that the shareholder return did benefit from timing factors, and some of these gains have been given back as economic uncertainty remains elevated. However, as we discuss in the market backdrop section, the manager remains increasingly positive on the outlook for the sector and the ability for it to capitalise on the growing investment opportunity, considering what it sees as significant structural changes occurring across the infrastructure sector.

Attribution and performance

Figure 1: Positive factors affecting FY2024 performance

	Impact (£m)	Impact (pence)
Tax computations	1.1	0.13
Principal indexation	0.8	0.09
Other inflationary movements	3.2	0.37
Total	5.1	0.59

Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments

As Figure 1 shows, positive contributions to NAV for the 2024 financial year were limited to tax computations and inflationary mechanics across the portfolio, resulting from the company's inflation linkages and protections. The manager says the otherwise-limited portfolio activity reflects the adviser's focus on consolidation and its capital recycling policy as the company seeks to reposition itself for further growth.

Figure 2: Negative factors affecting FY2024 performance

	Impact (£m)	Impact (pence)
Power price movements	(13.7)	(1.58)
Increase in discount rates	(10.6)	(1.22)
Energy yield assessment	(7.8)	(0.90)
Project long-term budget	(5.6)	(0.65)
Inflation forecast	(3.4)	(0.39)
Onshore wind asset outage	(2.0)	(0.23)
Actual performance	(3.0)	(0.35)
Other downward movements	(3.2)	(0.37)
Total	(49.3)	(5.69)

Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments

Falling electricity prices had the largest impact on the downside

Falling electricity prices had the largest negative impact, although the vast majority of these occurred in the first half of the company's financial year. The manager says that the UK energy market is emerging from several years of unusually high volatility and prices remain well above historical averages. Over the last 24 months, this has provided strong cash flow generation for the GCP portfolio. More recently, it says, prices in the UK have shown signs of stabilisation.

The manager adds that one of the main goals for the company's current portfolio development is to reduce exposure to electricity price volatility, as evidenced by the recent sale of GCP's interest in Blackcraig Wind Farm (discussed on page 7). In addition, it says that, where possible, the company continues to fix prices under power purchase agreements and hedge electricity prices.

Increases to discount rates have also weighed on the NAV, as have inflation adjustments, with inflation falling steadily over the course of 2024. Whilst inflation

has not impacted operational performance, lower inflation projections have reduced the cash expected to be generated by the company's loans and therefore the associated valuation has been reduced.

Market backdrop

Higher interest rates have weighed heavily on the infrastructure sector

The manager notes that there has been a long list of challenges faced by the infrastructure sector in recent years, adding that this has been driven mostly by the rise in interest rates that has greatly increased the relative attractiveness of more traditional income sectors, particularly low-risk corporate and government debt.

It says that valuations have fallen due to rising discount rates, while the increase in financing costs has weighed on the sectors' appeal, especially for those companies relying on leverage to help drive capital deployment programmes. Despite this, the manager believes that the extent and duration of the current sell-off goes well past the mechanical impact of these events, highlighted, it says, by valuations which have fallen below levels seen during the worst of the GFC. The manager says this is especially true for GCP, which has maintained conservative leverage and continues to generate strong earnings thanks to its focus on diverse, long-term, public-sector-backed investments; inflation linkages; and regulated and contracted cashflows. For comparison, back in 2010, when yields were at a similar level to where they are today, the manager notes that GCP traded on a premium of almost 10% despite having what it describes as a less diversified portfolio, and greater exposure to construction stage projects. As of publishing, its discount was 32.6%, a change of over 40 percentage points, which it says is not a fair reflection of the quality of the company's underlying assets.

The renewable infrastructure sector which now makes up over 60% of GCP's portfolio.

The manager believes that the entire sector has been tarred by the poor performance of a relatively small number of operators whose business models have proven to be untenable in the current market environment. It says that there also appears to be a broader lack of understanding around these assets and the quality and reliability of the investments that have enabled dividend yields to head towards 10%. Positively, given what it says are a wealth of structural growth opportunities which exist across the sector, the manager believes these depressed valuations do provide a compelling opportunity for companies like GCP with the scale and flexibility to consolidate and capitalise.

Manager Philip Kent points to the company's long-term track record of performance across various market cycles as a reason for optimism going forward. He says a focus on diversification across the infrastructure spectrum has allowed GCP to adapt to developments in any one sector (such as decreasing yields and more competition) and move into other areas if a sector no longer represents attractive risk-adjusted returns. He says this has been evidenced in recent years, with the company working through the divestment of its maturing supported living assets while shifting exposure into the renewable infrastructure sector, which now makes up over 60% of GCP's portfolio. He believes that with multiple factors now driving infrastructure investment, including infrastructure deployment to address population change; decarbonisation; and energy security, there exists a wealth of opportunities to shift into higher-yielding and more-attractive sectors of the market.

Improving outlook

In our previous research we highlighted how the manager believes that there is a significant disconnect between the government's stated aims for infrastructure investment and what is actually being built. It says this has only become more compelling following the policy initiatives announced by the new Labour government.

These included a range of measures which attempt to speed up infrastructure development including changes to the National Planning Policy Framework and the formation of the National Infrastructure and Service Transformation Authority to better support the delivery of significant capital projects. The manager says that in addition to housing and energy security, the renewable energy sector was a key area of focus as the government attempts to deliver its ambitious goal of fully decarbonising the electricity system by 2030.

We expect the steady normalisation of interest rates to provide a catalyst.

The manager believes GCP is increasingly well positioned to capitalise on these developments thanks to what it describes as its flexible, debt-focused funding approach. It says that the company's track record of being an early mover appears especially well matched with regard to new subsidy regimes for emerging technologies. These include net zero (green) hydrogen, carbon capture, and the expansion of existing market support measures (such as the CfD scheme), which it says offers an attractive opportunity for GCP to play a key role in the scaling-up and deployment of new infrastructure.


Interest rates

The manager believes that the steady normalisation of interest rates ought to be providing another catalyst. With inflation falling back to target, the Bank of England (BoE) has now begun to ease monetary policy, announcing two 25-basis-point rate cuts in 2024, dropping the bank rate to 4.75%. It says that these announcements helped drive a solid re-rating for many of the better-performing infrastructure companies, including GCP, over the first half of 2024.

Unfortunately, the manager says it has not all been one-way traffic, with concerns around the inflationary impact of the budget and the potential knock-on effects from US policy uncertainty (particularly around tariffs) leading to a repricing in the number of rate cuts expected for 2025 and rising government bond yields. Based on the data in Figure 3, it would appear that the market is now expecting between two and three cuts over the next 12 months, for an implied rate around 4%. The manager says that although this is still a significant shift down from the peak of 5.25%, only a few months ago markets were anticipating up to five rate cuts over this period. As we discuss in the discount section on page 15, this shift appears to have led to a repricing of some rate-sensitive sectors of the market after a period of optimism earlier in the year.

Despite this, the manager notes that the BoE remains committed to easing monetary policy and as interest rates fall, it expects capital to flow back towards alternative investments, especially given the attractive yields on offer, highlighted, it says, by GCP's current yield of 9.8%.

Figure 3: Implied UK overnight rate & number of cuts



Source: Bloomberg

Cost disclosures

The manager notes that the entire investment trust sector should also benefit from long-overdue cost disclosure reforms. On 22 November 2024, a Statutory Instrument came into law to remove the requirement for investment companies to publish ongoing charges, a figure which the manager notes is widely accepted to be an inaccurate representation of the actual cost of investing in the sector.

On 22 November 2024, a Statutory Instrument came into law to remove the requirement for investment companies to publish ongoing charges

Although it says this is undoubtedly a positive development, since the announcement there has continued to be confusion, with some investment platforms still requiring ongoing charges to be disclosed. The manager also believes the reforms have not been helped by a recently published consultation paper by the FCA on its proposed consumer composite investments regulation. It says that some have interpreted this as an intention to reinstate a single aggregated cost figure for trusts, without acknowledging that costs are already reflected in share prices. Unfortunately, the consultation will not close until March 2025, and implementation of any new rules might not be until the end of the year. You can read our ongoing discussions around the cost disclosure issues [here](#).

Capital recycling

In December 2023, GCP announced a plan to release £150m (roughly 15% of the portfolio) to facilitate a rebalance of sector exposures, apply funds towards a material reduction in the RCF, and return at least £50m in capital to shareholders. It was initially hoped that this would be completed by the end of 2024; however, the manager says that consistent delays throughout the year have meant the target completion date has been shifted to H1 2025. It says that this delay is tempered by the expectation that realisations will now comfortably exceed the initial target.

To date, the company's disposals total £38.2m, the bulk of which was achieved via the sale of GCP's interest in loan notes secured against Blackcraig Wind Farm. The

To date, the company's disposal's total £38.2m

disposal occurred at a 6.4% premium to the valuation of the project as at 31 March 2024, generating net cash proceeds of c.£31m while reducing GCP's exposure to power prices and equity-like investments (which now sit at just 6% of the portfolio). Importantly, the manager says that the sale also provided further validation of the company's underlying NAV. A portfolio of rooftop solar assets has also been realised, generating proceeds of £6.8m. Subject to contract, further proceeds of c.£20m are expected from the disposal of a portfolio of onshore wind farms.

With the proceeds of Blackcraig now available to it, GCP has been buying back shares steadily since 12 December 2024.

Debt reduction


Although the manager acknowledges that the initial stages of this capital programme have taken longer than originally hoped, it says that the company has made material progress in its stated aims of reducing the outstanding RCF, which was identified as a priority given the high-interest-rate environment. In March 2024, the RCF was refinanced from £190m to £150m with the drawn balance of this facility reduced significantly from £104m at 30 September 2023 to £57m. As further disposals are completed, the company expects this balance to be reduced to zero.

Over the 2024 financial year, the company also completed the repurchase of 3.4m shares under its existing buyback facility. The manager believes the repurchase of shares continues to offer attractive returns, given the current discount to NAV. It also adds that this helps to address any imbalance in supply and demand that may otherwise create volatility in the rating of the company's shares. It says this remains a delicate balance, however, as further buybacks may do little to move the discount and would reduce the size of the trust – decreasing liquidity and perhaps deterring some of its target investors.

Portfolio

As of 30 September 2024, there were 50 investments in GCP's portfolio (including the rooftop solar portfolio discussed above, with the sale occurring after the financial year end). The average annualised portfolio yield over the financial year was 7.8%, and the portfolio had a weighted average life of 11 years.


Figure 4: Split of the portfolio at 30 September 2024



Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments


No new investments were made over the financial year, with the adviser focusing instead on its capital recycling programme.

Figure 5: Sector allocation at 30 September 2024



Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments


Figure 6: Security allocation at 30 September 2024



Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments

As noted, the adviser views renewable energy infrastructure as a key area of focus going forward. The rebalancing of the portfolio through the capital reallocation policy is targeting a reduction in social housing and equity-like exposures, which now sit at just 6%.

Figure 7: GCP sources of income as at 30 September 2024



Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments. Note 1) does not include the sale of the rooftop solar portfolio which occurred post period end.

Top 10 investments

Figure 8: GCP's 10 largest investments as at 30 September 2024

	% of total assets 30/07/24	Cashflow type	Project type
Cardale PFI	12.7	Unitary charge	PFI/PPP
Gravis Solar 1	9.7	ROC/FIT	Commercial solar
GCP Programme Funding S14	5.4	ROC/RHI/Merchant	Biomass
GCP Programme Funding S3	4.4	ROC/PPA	Anaerobic Digestion
GCP Programme Funding S10	4.5	Lease	Supported Living
GCP Bridge Holdings	5.0	ROC/PPA	PPE – Energy-from-waste / Energy efficiency
Gravis Asset Holdings H	4.4	ROC/RHI	Onshore wind
GCP Biomass 2	4.1	ROC/PPA	Biomass
GCP Social Housing 1 B	3.8	Lease	Supported living
GCP Green Energy 1	3.7	ROC/PPA	Commercial solar/onshore wind

Source: GCP Infrastructure Investment

Figure 9: Top 10 revenue counterparties

Firm	% of total portfolio
Ecotricity Limited	9.2
Viridian Energy Supply	7.8
Office of Gas and Electricity Markets	6.4
Npower Limited	6.3
Statkraft Markets GmbH	5.9
Bespoke Supportive Tenancies Limited	4.6
Smartestenergy Limited	4.5
Total Gas & Energy Limited	4.4
Good Energy Limited	4.4
Gloucestershire County Council	4.1

Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments

Figure 10: Top 10 project service providers


Firm	% of total portfolio
WPO UK Services Limited	21.0
PSH Operations Limited	13.0
Vestas Celtic Wind Technology Limited	11.3
Solar Maintenance Services Limited	9.7
A Shade Greener Maintenance	8.7
2G Energy Limited	5.9
Pentair	4.6
Atlantic Biogas Ltd	4.6
Thyson	4.6
Cobalt Energy Limited	4.1

Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments

Recent investment activity


Although there were no new investments made over GCP's 2024 financial year, the company did make one new loan to an existing borrower, totalling £2.6m. Follow-on investments of £24.7m were also made, with these focused on restructuring and management to preserve value and potential future profitability. This was offset by repayments of £39.2m, giving a net repayment from the existing portfolio of £11.9m.

Figure 11: Outflows (investments)



Source: Gravis Capital Partners






Figure 12: Inflows (repayments)



Source: Gravis Capital Partners

Conservative assumptions

Figure 13: Valuation assumptions as at 30 September 2024

	GCP's approach	Most conservative	Potential impact on GCP's NAV (pence)	Least conservative
Electricity prices	Futures prices for three years and four-quarter average long term	AFRY Q3 2024 Low-Central	(3.25)  3.57	Aurora Q3 2024 Central
Capture prices (wind, solar)	Asset-specific curve applied to each project	Higher Capture prices	(0.60)  3.17	No capture prices
Asset lives	Lesser of planning, lease, and technical life (20 to 25 years)	Contractual limitations	 2.83	Asset life of 40 years (solar) and 30 years (wind)
Indexation	OBR short term, 2.5% RPI and 2.0% CPI long term	2.5% RPI and 2% CPI long term	 0.86	0.5% increase in inflation forecasts
Taxes	Long-term corporation tax assumption of 25%	Long-term corporation tax at 25%	 0.29	Short-term corporate tax assumption of 25% then 19% thereafter

Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments

Figure 13 summarises the key assumptions used in forecasting cash flows from renewable assets in which the company is invested, and the range of assumptions that the investment adviser observes in the market. The table suggests that GCP's managers have tended to take a conservative approach, with the chart highlighting alternative, more aggressive valuation assumptions that could be taken. The net effect of this is that, were GCP to assume the most conservative assumptions in every category, the end-September NAV of 105.22p would be reduced to 101.37p. By contrast, were GCP to assume the least conservative assumptions in each category, the NAV would have been 115.94p.

Were GCP to assume the least conservative assumptions in each category, the NAV would have been 115.94p.


The manager says that this approach reduces the likelihood and severity of any unexpected shocks to GCP's NAV, e.g. a sharp reversal in wholesale gas prices. It says this also raises the possibility of further NAV uplifts from portfolio disposals, such as with the Blackcraig wind farm. Note that valuation metrics do not affect either the dividend pay-out or the share price yield.

Sensitivities

The manager also provides a sensitivity analysis for its cash flows. Figures 14 and 15 show the impact of changes in power prices and changes in its base case inflation forecast.


As noted, one of the main areas of focus for GCP's capital recycling programme is reducing the impact of power price volatility on its cash flows and NAV. As such, it says the figures highlighted in Figure 14 are likely to reduce materially going forward.

Figure 14: Impact of change in forecast electricity prices



Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments

Figure 15: NAV impact associated with a movement in inflation




Source: GCP Infrastructure Investments

Performance

Despite what the manager describes as less-favourable market conditions over the last few years, GCP has still managed to deliver a NAV total return of 33.6% since 2019. It says this supports the view that the market reaction, which has seen shares fall by 22% over the same period, is in no way a fair reflection of the quality of the company's underlying assets.


Figure 17 illustrates the outperformance of GCP over the sterling corporate bond index. The manager says this figure provides another illustration of the tangible returns generated by the company above direct market comparables.

Figure 16: GCP NAV total return



Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co

Figure 17: GCP NAV total return performance relative to sterling corporate bond performance



Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co

The manager says that whilst the NAV total return has been modest over the past 12 months, the company is yet to fully realise the benefits of its capital recycling efforts due to transaction delays experienced throughout the year. As we have

noted, the manager now expects these to flow through the portfolio over the first half of 2025. It thinks that there is a significant amount of potential upside as these positions are realised, noting the 6.4% premium to NAV at which the Blackcraig windfarm investments were solid. It says that additional realisations at or above NAV can only work to highlight the irrationality of the current discount, which remains near historical extremes.

Figure 18: Cumulative total return performance over periods ending 31 December 2024

	3 months (%)	6 months (%)	1 year (%)	3 years (%)	5 years (%)
GCP share price	(7.8)	(8.0)	7.5	(16.9)	(22.0)
GCP NAV	0.6	1.0	2.2	18.8	33.6
Sterling corporate bonds	(0.3)	2.8	6.8	(9.9)	(4.9)

Source: Morningstar, Bloomberg, Marten & Co

Peer group

Figure 19: Infrastructure peer group comparative data as at 31 December 2024

	Premium / (discount) (%)	Dividend yield (%)	Ongoing charge (%)	Market cap (GBPm)
GCP Infrastructure Investments	(33.3)	10.0	1.10	609
3i Infrastructure	(12.8)	3.9	1.65	2,988
BBGI Global Infrastructure	(15.1)	6.8	0.93	896
Cordiant Digital Infrastructure	(26.2)	4.4	0.90	697
Digital 9 Infrastructure	(58.5)	0.5	1.33	164
HICL Infrastructure	(23.9)	6.9	1.14	2,386
International Public Partnerships	(18.7)	7.0	1.20	2,286
Pantheon Infrastructure	(22.1)	4.7	-	416
Sequoia Economic Infrastructure	(17.3)	8.7	0.95	1,238
Peer group median	(22.1)	6.8	1.1	896.0
GCP rank	8/9	1/9	4/8	7/9

Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co

Up-to-date information on GCP and its peers is available on the [QuotedData](#) website

GCP sits within the AIC's infrastructure sector, which is made up of three companies that invest predominantly in public/private partnership project equity (BBGI, HICL and International Public Partnerships), two companies that have more revenue exposure towards demand driven assets (3i Infrastructure and Pantheon Infrastructure), two digital infrastructure companies (Cordiant Digital Infrastructure and Digital 9 Infrastructure) and one company (Sequoia Economic Infrastructure, which – like GCP – invests primarily in infrastructure debt, but using a much broader definition of what constitutes infrastructure).

Since our last note, median discounts in the infrastructure sector have widened from 15.9% to 22.1%. Unfortunately for GCP, its discount has slipped further, falling to 32.6%.

After a positive re-rating earlier in the year, GCP's discount is now the second-widest in the sector, behind Digital 9 Infrastructure, a trust in managed wind-down following a number of operational issues. By contrast, the manager says GCP continues to execute well. It adds that it is confident that the discount remains a temporary phenomenon, given the quality of GCP's assets and the reliability of its earnings. The manager comments that almost two-thirds of GCP's investments are regulated or contracted, potentially de-risking returns through what it describes as highly visible and increasingly stable earnings. It says that this structure has provided the foundation for the company's current capital programs and leaves it in a strong position to rebalance the portfolio when it does begin to make new investments.

GCP also has the highest dividend of its immediate peer group.

Figure 20: Infrastructure peer group cumulative NAV TR performance ending 31 December 2024

	3 months (%)	6 Months (%)	1 year (%)	3 years (%)	5 years (%)
GCP	(0.6)	1.0	2.2	18.8	33.6
3i Infrastructure	0.8	5.2	10.3	39.8	80.1
BBGI	1.4	2.5	5.4	23.0	40.7
Cordiant Digital Infrastructure	2.7	3.6	14.4	35.5	n/a
Digital9 Infrastructure	0.1	(42.4)	(55.3)	(52.4)	n/a
HICL	1.3	1.6	3.5	17.5	30.6
International Public Partnerships	1.4	0.8	1.8	17.9	28.3
Pantheon Infrastructure	0.9	5.6	12.0	n/a	n/a
Sequoia Economic Infrastructure	0.5	2.0	8.0	14.0	23.2
Peer group median	0.9	2.0	5.4	18.3	32.1
GCP rank	9/9	7/9	7/9	4/8	3/6

Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co

Alternative peer group – renewable energy companies

Figure 21: Renewable energy peer group comparative data as at 31 December 2024

	Premium / (discount) (%)	Dividend yield (%)	Ongoing charge (%)	Market cap (GBPm)
GCP	(33.3)	9.9	1.10	609
Aquila Energy Efficiency Trust	(45.1)	0	-	43
Aquila European Renewables	(27.0)	8.8	1.10	207
Bluefield Solar Income	(24.9)	9.4	1.02	562
Downing Renewables & Infra.	(32.9)	7.3	1.60	135
Ecofin US Renewables	(52.6)	2.3	1.78	34
Foresight Environmental Infrastructure Group	(31.0)	10.3	1.24	490
Foresight Solar Fund	(32.8)	10.6	1.15	428
Gore Street Energy Storage	(51.4)	14.4	1.42	245
Greencoat Renewables	(24.6)	8.1	1.18	769
Greencoat UK Wind	(17.4)	7.6	0.92	2,954
Gresham House Energy Storage	(59.3)	12.3	1.19	256
Harmony Energy Income	(26.5)	0.2	-	148
HydrogenOne capital growth	(78.3)	0	2.56	28
NextEnergy Solar	(32.6)	12.8	1.11	383
Octopus Renewables Infrastructure	(34.5)	8.9	1.16	378
SDCL Energy Efficiency Income	(38.3)	11.2	1.02	608
The Renewables Infrastructure Group	(27.5)	8.5	1.04	2,173
Triple Point Energy Efficiency	(41.5)	11.9	2.06	46
US Solar Fund	(42.9)	5.3	1.39	105
VH Global Energy Infrastructure	(42.1)	8.8	1.39	255
Peer group median	(33.3)	8.8	1.2	256.0
GCP rank	11/22	8/22	5/21	4/22

Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co

In light of the increasing importance of renewable energy within GCP's portfolio, we feel it is also relevant to compare the company to the constituents of the renewable energy infrastructure sector, shown in Figure 21. However, it is worth noting that this encompasses a diverse range of companies which may not be directly comparable to GCP, such as Ecofin US Renewables and the US Solar Fund, which have much-longer-term PPAs and are therefore less exposed to energy price volatility. GCP's asset base also differs widely from the energy storage companies – Gore Street, Gresham House and Harmony – and the energy efficiency companies – Aquila, SDCL and Triple Point.

The more useful comparators appear to be Bluefield Solar, Foresight Solar, Greencoat UK Wind, Foresight Environmental Infrastructure, NextEnergy Solar, Octopus Renewables and The Renewables Infrastructure Group.

The manager notes that in contrast to the lower discounts in the infrastructure sector, GCP's discount is in line with the median for the renewable energy peer group, highlighting what it says is the negative sentiment surrounding the sector.

Figure 22: Renewable energy peer group cumulative NAV TR performance ending 31 December 2024

	3 months (%)	6 Months (%)	1 year (%)	3 years (%)	5 years (%)
GCP	(0.6)	1.0	2.2	18.8	33.6
Aquila Energy Efficiency Trust	7.9	7.9	5.9	8.8	n/a
Aquila European Renewables	0.8	(2.6)	(10.7)	1.9	n/a
Bluefield Solar Income	1.8	(2.4)	(0.9)	24.2	45.2
Downing Renewables & Infra.	1.0	1.3	4.1	30.5	n/a
Ecofin US Renewables	6.4	(17.8)	(25.0)	(20.3)	n/a
Foresight Solar Fund	1.8	1.7	2.1	25.6	50.9
Gore Street Energy Storage	1.9	(3.3)	(4.9)	15.5	46.9
Greencoat Renewables	0.7	(0.9)	(1.0)	24.9	42.1
Greencoat UK Wind	1.6	2.4	2.4	40.9	74.0
Gresham House Energy Storage	1.3	(16.3)	(24.4)	4.5	37.7
Harmony Energy Income	(6.7)	(8.0)	(22.8)	n/a	n/a
HydrogenOne Capital Growth	0.0	(2.7)	(0.6)	5.3	n/a
Foresight Environmental Infrastructure Group	(1.2)	0.1	(1.8)	31.6	49.7
NextEnergy Solar	(1.5)	(2.6)	(1.8)	16.2	31.5
Octopus Renewables Infrastructure	1.5	2.8	2.7	18.9	n/a
SDCL Energy Efficiency Income	1.7	3.7	7.2	4.2	25.7
The Renewables Infrastructure Group	1.6	0.4	(1.5)	20.5	40.8
Triple Point Energy Efficiency	1.8	32.6	22.6	38.3	n/a
US Solar Fund	6.9	1.1	(6.0)	(2.8)	2.7
VH Global Energy Infrastructure	1.3	(1.6)	10.6	22.4	n/a
Peer group median	1.5	0.1	(0.9)	18.8	41.4
GCP rank	18/21	9/21	8/21	11/20	9/12

Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co

Quarterly dividend

Dividends are declared and paid quarterly. Shareholders are able to elect to take their dividend as scrip (in shares rather than cash). In its 2024 financial year, GCP's target dividend remained stable at 7.0p in line with its previous three financial years.

Discount

Over the past 12 months, GCP's shares have traded on an average discount of 30.2%, a high of 22.2%, and a low of 37.2%. As of publishing, the discount stood at 32.6%. The manager notes that this narrowed considerably over the first half of 2024 as markets seemed to react to the expectation of lower interest rates. Unfortunately, the manager adds that financial conditions have steadily tightened in recent months, which it believes has weighed on GCP's shares. Despite this, on balance the manager remains confident that the overall policy backdrop is supportive of the re-rating seen in the first half.

Figure 23: GCP discount over five years ending 31 December 2024



Source: Morningstar, Marten & Co

Fees and costs

The investment adviser receives an investment advisory fee of 0.9% a year of the NAV net of cash. This fee is calculated and payable quarterly in arrears. There is no performance fee. The investment adviser is also entitled to an arrangement fee of up to 1% (at its discretion) of the cost of each asset acquired by GCP. Gravis will generally seek to charge the arrangement fee to borrowers rather than to the company, where possible. To the extent that any arrangement fee negotiated by the investment adviser with a borrower exceeds 1%, the benefit of any such excess shall be paid to the company. The investment adviser also receives a fee of £70,000 (subject to RPI adjustments) a year for acting as AIFM, which was £89,000 for the 2024 FY, after adjustments.

The investment advisory agreement may be terminated by either party on 24 months' written notice.

Apex Financial Services (Alternative Funds) Limited is GCP’s administrator and company secretary. Depositary services are provided by Apex Financial Services (Corporate) Limited. The fee for the provision of these services during the year was £1.008m (FY23 £1.034m).

The ongoing charges ratio for the year ended 30 September 2024 was 1.1%, unchanged from the prior year.

Capital structure and life

As of 31 December 2024, GCP has 884,797,669 ordinary shares outstanding, of which 18,915,019 are held in treasury. The company’s financial year end is 30 September and AGMs are held in February.

GCP is an evergreen company with no fixed life and no regular continuation vote.


Gearing and derivatives

Structural gearing of investments is permitted up to a maximum of 20% of NAV immediately following drawdown of the relevant debt. At 30 September 2024, GCP’s net gearing was 4.5%, down from 10.8% in FY2023.

As of 30 September 2024, the company has credit arrangements of £150m across four lenders: Lloyds, AIB, Mizuho and Clydesdale. At year end, £57m was drawn.

Major shareholders

Figure 24: Major shareholders



Source: Marten & Co

Board

Currently, the board has six directors, all of whom are non-executive and independent of the investment adviser. However, its composition is expected to see several changes in the year ahead that are designed to align with corporate governance best practice. Michael Gray and Julia Chapman have both served on the board for nine years, and their retirement will be phased over the coming year. Mr Gray will retire from the board in February 2025, and Ms Chapman will stay on the board for a further transition period, assisting with the identification of an appropriate replacement.

Figure 25: Board members, fees and shareholdings

Director	Role	Date appointed	Length of service (years)	Annual fee	Shareholding
Andrew Didham	Chairman and chair of nomination committee	17/12/2021	3	96,000	146,345
Julia Chapman	Senior independent director	01/10/2015	9	61,000	60,446
Dawn Crichard	Chair of the management engagement committee and chair of the ESG committee	16/09/2019	5	73,000	75,261
Michael Gray	Chair of the investment committee	01/10/2015	9	76,000	-
Steven Wilderspin	Chair of the audit and risk committee	10/02/2021	3	74,000	15,000
Alex Yew	Non-executive director	01/11/2022	2	63,000	20,000

Source: Marten & Co

Andrew Didham (chairman)

Andrew Didham, a UK resident, is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England & Wales. He is a senior executive director with extensive board-level experience within the Rothschild banking group. Andrew was group finance director for 16 years and a member of the group management of the worldwide Rothschild business, comprising investment banking, wealth management, asset management and merchant banking activities. He has broad non-executive director experience, being on the boards of IG Group Holdings Plc, Shawbrook Group Plc, Charles Stanley Group Plc, and formerly Jardine Lloyd Thomson Group Plc. Within Rothschild, he remains an executive vice chairman (a position he has held since 2012) and a non-executive member of NM Rothschild and Sons Limited. Formerly, he was a partner in the London office of KPMG with responsibility for the audit of a number of global financial institutions and assignments for various government and regulatory authorities.

Julia Chapman (senior independent director)

Julia Chapman, a Jersey resident, is a solicitor qualified in England & Wales and Jersey with over 30 years' experience in the investment company and capital markets sector. Having trained with Simmons & Simmons in London, she moved to Jersey to work for Mourant du Feu & Jeune (now known as Mourant) and became a partner in 1999.

Julia was appointed senior counsel to State Street following its acquisition of Maurant's fund administration business in April 2010. She headed up a team supporting State Street's European alternative investment services division. In July 2012, Julia left State Street to focus on the independent provision of directorship and governance services to a small number of alternative investment fund vehicles. Julia serves on the boards of three other Main Market listed companies: Henderson Far East Income Limited, BH Macro Limited and Sanne Group Plc.

Dawn Crichard (chair of the management engagement committee)

Dawn Crichard, a Jersey resident, is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of England and Wales with over 20 years' experience in senior chief financial officer and financial director positions. Having qualified with Deloitte, she moved into the commercial sector and was chief financial officer of a large private construction group for 12 years. Dawn then worked with both private and listed clients in the hedge fund division of State Street. Following this, she was appointed as chief financial officer for Bathroom Brands Plc. In her current role as head of finance at a family office, she has been involved in establishing and overseeing high-value private expert funds. Her broad accounting and commercial experience includes establishing new group head offices, mergers, acquisitions, refinancing and restructuring.

Michael Gray (chair of the investment committee)

Michael Gray, a Jersey resident, is a qualified corporate banker and corporate treasurer. Michael was most recently the regional managing director of Corporate Banking for RBS International, based in Jersey, but with responsibility for The Royal Bank of Scotland's Corporate Banking Business in the Crown Dependencies and British Overseas Territories.

In a career spanning 31 years with The Royal Bank of Scotland Group Plc, Michael has undertaken a variety of roles, including that of an auditor, and has extensive general management and lending experience across a number of industries. He is also a non-executive director of Jersey Finance Limited, the promotional body for the finance sector in Jersey, and a Main Market listed company JTC Plc, as well as other listed and private companies.

Steven Wilderspin (chair of the audit and risk committee)

Steven Wilderspin, a Jersey resident, is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of England & Wales. Since 2007, he has acted as an independent director on a number of public and private investment funds and commercial companies. In 2017, he retired as the chair of the audit and risk committee of 3i Infrastructure Plc after 10 years of service.

Steven is currently the chair of the risk committee of Blackstone Loan Financing Limited, chair of the audit and risk committee of HarbourVest Global Private Equity Limited, and a non-executive director of Phoenix Spree Deutschland Limited. Prior to 2007, he was a director at Maples Finance Jersey, with responsibility for their fund administration and fiduciary business. Steven began his career at PwC in London in 1990.

Alex Yew (independent director)

Alex Yew, a UK resident, has more than 25 years of experience as a lawyer, banker and investor. He is a qualified solicitor in Singapore, England and Wales. Alex currently holds a number of non-executive roles in infrastructure, energy, and energy transition. Prior to this, he was a senior adviser and a senior managing director at John Laing, an international investor in infrastructure and energy assets. Alex worked at John Laing for more than 14 years, during which time he held leadership positions in project finance, new markets, strategy, and partnerships, and was regional head of the European and Latin American businesses. He was also a member of the senior leadership team and the investment committee. Prior to John Laing, Alex was a director in the infrastructure advisory team at CIBC World Markets in London. He was also a banker and lawyer in Southeast Asia before he moved to the UK.

Previous publications

Readers interested in further information about GCP may wish to read our previous notes.

Figure 26: QuotedData's previously published notes on GCP

Title	Note type	Publication date
Stable income, uncertain times	Initiation	30 January 2020
Rebased dividend	Update	1 June 2020
Compelling yield	Annual overview	11 January 2021
Penalised for being conservative?	Update	1 July 2021
The future is brighter and greener	Annual overview	18 January 2022
Improving outlook and room to grow	Update	19 July 2022
Green is good	Annual overview	7 February 2023
Merger to unlock compelling value?	Update	17 August 2023
Don't look back in anger	Annual overview	30 January 2024
Crystallising unrealised value	Update	6 August 2024

Source: Marten & Co



IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Marten & Co (which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority) was paid to produce this note on GCP Infrastructure Investments Limited.

This note is for information purposes only and is not intended to encourage the reader to deal in the security or securities mentioned within it.

Marten & Co is not authorised to give advice to retail clients. The research does not have

regard to the specific investment objectives financial situation and needs of any specific person who may receive it.

The analysts who prepared this note are not constrained from dealing ahead of it but, in practice, and in accordance with our internal code of good conduct, will refrain from doing so for the period from which they first obtained the information necessary to prepare the note

until one month after the note's publication. Nevertheless, they may have an interest in any of the securities mentioned within this note.

This note has been compiled from publicly available information. This note is not directed at any person in any jurisdiction where (by reason of that person's nationality, residence or otherwise) the publication or availability of this note is prohibited.

Accuracy of Content: Whilst Marten & Co uses reasonable efforts to obtain information from sources which we believe to be reliable and to ensure that the information in this note is up to date and accurate, we make no representation or warranty that the information contained in this note is accurate, reliable or complete. The information contained in this note is provided by Marten & Co for personal use and information purposes generally. You are solely liable for any use you may make of this information. The information is inherently subject to change without notice and may become outdated. You, therefore, should verify any information obtained from this note before you use it.

No Advice: Nothing contained in this note constitutes or should be construed to constitute investment, legal, tax or other advice.

No Representation or Warranty: No representation, warranty or guarantee of any kind, express or implied is given by Marten & Co in respect of any information contained on this note.

Exclusion of Liability: To the fullest extent allowed by law, Marten & Co shall not be liable for any direct or indirect losses, damages, costs or expenses incurred or suffered by you arising out or in connection with the access to, use of or reliance on any information contained on this note. In no circumstance shall Marten & Co and its employees have any liability for consequential or special damages.

Governing Law and Jurisdiction: These terms and conditions and all matters connected with them, are governed by the laws of England and Wales and shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the English courts. If you access this note from outside the UK, you are responsible for ensuring compliance with any local laws relating to access.

No information contained in this note shall form the basis of, or be relied upon in connection with, any offer or commitment whatsoever in any jurisdiction.

Investment Performance Information: Please remember that past performance is not necessarily a guide to the future and that the value of shares and the income from them can go down as well as up. Exchange rates may also cause the value of underlying overseas investments to go down as well as up. Marten & Co may write on companies that use gearing in a number of forms that can increase volatility and, in some cases, to a complete loss of an investment.

QuotedData is a trading name of Marten & Co, which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

50 Gresham Street, London EC2V 7AY
0203 691 9430

www.QuotedData.com

Registered in England & Wales number 07981621,
2nd Floor Heathmans House,
19 Heathmans Road, London SW6 4TJ